

presenting the proposed image that does not fit in as the initial image that does not fit in when the voting determines that a predetermined percentage of the plurality of participants agree that the proposed image that does not fit in can be agreed upon as a nightmare.

A3
cont

4. (Amended - Clean Copy) The method for analyzing legal reasoning according to claim 3, further comprising:

displaying, substantially simultaneously via the computer network, at least a percentage of the plurality of participants that agree that the proposed image that does not fit in can be agreed upon as a nightmare.

5. (Amended - Clean Copy) The method for analyzing legal reasoning according to claim 1, further comprising:

presenting a second image that does not fit in with at least one of the initial law and the second law, potentially obstructing the legislative objective; and

determining a third law by revising the second law to remove the potential obstruction of the second image that does not fit in with the at least one of the initial law and the second law.

6. (Amended - Clean Copy) A method for creating a legal map depicting legal reasoning for determining a law, the method comprising:

presenting an objective of the law;

determining an initial law to represent the objective and depicting the initial law at a first position of a triangle;

presenting an image that does not fit in with the initial law and depicting the image that does not fit in at a second position of the triangle; and

deriving a second law that addresses the image that does not fit in with the initial law and depicting the second law at a third position of the triangle.

7. (Amended - Clean Copy) The method for creating a legal map depicting legal reasoning according to claim 6, further comprising:

depicting the second law at a first position of a second triangle

presenting a second image that does not fit in with the second law and depicting the second image that does not fit in at a second position of the second triangle; and

deriving a third law that addresses the second image that does not fit in with the second law and depicting the third law at a third position of the second triangle.

8. (Amended - Clean Copy) A computer readable medium for storing a computer program that enables analysis of legal reasoning to derive a law relating to an objective, the computer readable medium comprising:

a receiving source code segment that receives the objective of the law and an initial law proposed to achieve the objective; and

a presenting source code segment that presents an image that does not fit in with the initial law;

the receiving source code segment receiving a second law, derived from the initial law, that accounts for the image that does not fit in.

10. (Amended - Clean Copy) The computer readable medium for storing a computer program according to claim 8, the presenting source code segment further presenting a second image that does not fit in with the second law; and

the receiving source code segment receiving a third law, derived from the second law, that accounts for the second image that does not fit in.

11. (Amended - Clean Copy) A system for analyzing legal reasoning for determining a law, the system comprising:

a central processing unit that runs a computer program for the legal reasoning analysis; and

A #
cont
a server connected to the central processing unit, the server being accessible by a plurality of user terminals via a packet switched data network;

the central processing unit presenting an objective of the law to the plurality of user terminals via the server and receiving an initial law relating to the legislative objective;

the central processing unit receiving a proposed image that does not fit in with the initial law, and presenting the proposed image that does not fit in to the plurality of user terminals via the server;

the central processing unit receiving votes from the plurality of user terminals and, based on the votes, determining whether a predetermined number of the plurality of participants agree that the proposed image that does not fit in comprises an actual image that does not fit in with the objective, as indicated by the initial law; and

when the central processing unit determines that the predetermined number of the plurality of participants agree that the proposed image that does not fit in comprises the actual image that does not fit, the central processing unit receives a second law, derived from the initial law, that addresses the actual image that does not fit in.
